1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
9	AT SEATTLE	
10	SECOND AMENDMENT	CASE NO. C23-1554 MJP
11	FOUNDATION, et al.,	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR
12	Plaintiffs,	LEAVE TO FILE OVERLENGTH BRIEF
13	V.	
14	ROBERT FERGUSON, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		•
17	This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Overlength	
18	Brief. (Dkt. No. 12.) Having reviewed the Motion and all supporting materials, the Court	
19	DENIES the Motion. Plaintiffs ask for leave to file a response to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss	
20	that is one-and-a-half times the allowed length on the theory that they must "present the detailed	
21	factual background" from their Complaint and "discuss the 'specific factual allegations" they	
22	claim Defendants overlooked. (Mot. at 2.) The Court finds little merit in this argument. In	
23	analyzing the Motion to Dismiss, the Court will review Plaintiffs' Complaint in great detail.	
24	Providing additional space for Plaintiffs to repeat or summarize the Complaint's allegations is	

unnecessary. And any discussion of the Complaint's specific factual allegations is best presented in the "legal analysis that responds to State Defendants' arguments." (Id.) Lastly, the Court is not convinced that Plaintiffs' discussion about the Court's jurisdiction necessarily requires additional briefing space. The Court therefore DENIES the Motion in the absence of good cause. The clerk is ordered to provide copies of this order to all counsel. Dated November 13, 2023. Marshy Relens Marsha J. Pechman United States Senior District Judge